

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P. Do. 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

			www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET	
09/822,113	03/30/2001		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
		Stanley R. Miska	85917.000307	9192
23387 75	90 06/03/2003			
Stephen B. Sa	lai, Esq.			
Harter, Secrest	& Emery LLP		EXAMINER SINGH, ARTI R	
1600 Bausch &	Lomb Place			
Rochester, NY 14604-2711				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	opplicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/822,113	MISKA, STANLEY R.
		Examiner	Art Unit
	The second second	Ms. Arti Singh	
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication of for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
- Ex aft - If t - If N - Fai	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period illure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat y reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 N  N.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thin and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	3 March 2003	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This is a many control of the contro	This action is non-final.	
3)  Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under tion of Claims	Monae aug 15 5	ters, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	on.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration	
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	and the consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	
Applicati	on Papers	ciccion requirement.	
9)[] -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)[] 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	pted or b) ohiected to by the	Everine
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	A drawing(a) ha hald to a	
11)[] T	no proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ dis:	approved by the Fire
	in the second drawings are required in rel	DIV to this Office action	approved by the Examiner.
12)∐ T	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
riority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	Driority under 35 U.S.C. & 4	10(a) (d) - (0
a)[	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	7	19(a)-(d) or (f).
	. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
2	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Annu	
* Se	application from the International Bune the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ity documents have been receau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If the certified copies not rec	ceived in this National Stage
14)∐ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 H S C 8 1	10(a) (4a - a - a - a - a - a - a - a - a - a -
,	medial in a made of a cialin for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 88	120 and/or 121
		33	
Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Sumi 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other;	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/822,113

Art Unit: 1771

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Claims 1-17 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the flame retardant coating could be applied by means of a heated spray coating and could still formulate the same article does not appear to be any different from what Applicant claims.

## **Election/Restrictions**

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-17 are drawn to a flame metalized fabric, classified in class 442, subclass 059+.
  - II. Claims 18-20 are drawn to a method of forming a flame retardant conductive polymeric fabric, classified in class 427 in various subclasses.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 3. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the metal layer could be disposed directly on the fabric substrate and a flame retardant coating is then applied onto the metal and could still formulate the same composite. Further support for materially producing the same product by another method is taken from Applicant's own admission on page 5, lines 11-16.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/822,113

Art Unit: 1771

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Stephan Salai on 12/04/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Arti Singh whose telephone number is 703-305-0291. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-873-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Ms. Arti Singh Patent Examiner Art Unit 1771 Page 3

ars June 02,2003